



**Independent Process Monitoring of APRLP
By
WASSAN**

**1st Report of Ranga Reddy District (Draft)
March 2007**



INDEX

Cluster: Awareness Generation And Rapport Building.....	3
Cluster: Conducting Situation Analysis.....	5
Cluster: Integrating Existing Institutions.....	6
Cluster: Identification Of Poor Families.....	7
Cluster: Formation Of New Groups.....	8
Cluster: Experiential Learning Of Communities.....	9
Cluster: Action Planning.....	10
Cluster: Action Planning For Productivity Enhancement And Enterprise Promotion.....	12
Cluster: Gender Scrutiny Of Action Plans.....	14
Cluster: Approval Of Action Plans.....	15
Cluster: Implementation Of Action Plans – Initial Tasks	16
Cluster: Capacity Building Inputs.....	17
Cluster: Role Of User Groups In Execution Of Works.....	18
Cluster: Completion Of Works And Records.....	20
Cluster: Implementation Of Productivity Enhancement And Enterprise Promotion Plans.....	21
Cluster: Sustainability And Growth.....	22



Report of Independent Process Monitoring of APRLP			
District	Ranga Reddy	Time Period:	Up to November 06
Cluster: Awareness Generation and Rapport Building			Cluster No: 1
Details of Sample: Total 4 Projects - Hariyali (4 Nbs)			
Key Questions:			
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What methods were used to bring awareness about watershed program in the village? 2. Where were they conducted to bring awareness in the village watershed program? 3. Where special efforts made to target women in awareness camps? 4. Did men and women discuss together about the issues of watershed project, during awareness / initial phase? How did these discussions happen? 5. Were there any formal agreements / MOU between PFA and GP? How did this happen? Do you know the details/content of the same? 			
Good Processes:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Gram Panchayat was used to generate awareness (3 Nos/ 4 Villages) and on equal terms Kalajathas were also used to generate awareness (3 Nos/ 4 Villages) o Awareness camps/ meetings are organized mostly in the Gram Panchayat (3 Nos/ 4 villages). The Temple premises were also used (1 Nos/ 4 Villages). o Special efforts were made to reach out to women during awareness camp by WDT. (2 Nos/ 4 Villages) o Men and women equally participated in discussions related to watershed projects (1 Nos/ 4 Villages). 			
Processes that Need Improvement:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Limited number of awareness camps and types of awareness generation methods (2 Nos/ 5 Villages) o Limited efforts to reach out women (separately and along with men) (2 Nos/ 4 Villages) o Men tend to dominate the meeting and women remain as passive listeners (3 Nos/ 4 Villages) Even the discussion where women participated were dominated by men (1 Nos/ 4 Villages) o Limited transparency and process in developing partnership with Grama Panchayati and PFA. No one is familiar with details of MoU (4 Nos/ 4 Villages) 			
Recommendations for Improvement:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Develop communications strategy. Encourage "Two Way" communication processes. Improve the diversity and frequency of awareness camps. Go beyond meetings and Kala Jaatha. 			



WASSAN



- Make special efforts to reach out women and women leaders of the village. Organize joint meetings in which “prepared women” take key roles.
- MoU could be displayed in the village and “read out” in the village meetings. Roles of WDT/ PFA need to be discussed in the village.



Report of Independent Process Monitoring of APRLP			
District	Ranga Reddy	Time Period:	Up to November 06
Cluster: Conducting Situation Analysis			Cluster No: 2
Details of Sample: Total 4 Projects - Hariyali (4 Nos)			
Key Questions:			
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What methods are employed to conduct situation analysis of the village? 2. On what themes, PRA was conducted? 3. What important problems were identified during the initial phases? 4. Who played key role in identification of problems? 5. Whether issues related to women were discussed (work loads, etc)? 			
Good Processes:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA) is conducted in all villages to conduct situation analysis. (1 Nos/ 4 Villages). Resource maps were also used (1 Nos/ 4 villages) o The PRA was conducted on NRM related issues (3 Nos/ 4 villages). PRA on issues related to watershed, well being, social data and transect walk were also conducted (1 Nos/ 4 Villages) o Ground water depletion, rain water storage and soil erosion related problems were discussed during situation analysis (1 Nos/ 4 Villages) o The WDT played key role in problem identification (2 Nos/ / 4 villages) Gram Panchayat and village elders also played key role in problem identification (1 Nos/ 4 villages) 			
Processes that Need Improvement:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o PRA exercises remained as one time events (4 Nos/ 4 Villages) o For identification of problems nobody played key role (2 Nos/ / 4 villages) o Issues relate to women and their problems were not discussed during situation analysis state (4 Nos/ 4 Villages) 			
Recommendations for Improvement:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Promote systematic approach for situation analysis for each component of watershed project. o Orient and develop capacities of WDTs and facilitators to conduct participatory situation analysis o Focus on gender issues during situation analysis and provide link between these exercises and action planning process. 			



Report of Independent Process Monitoring of APRLP			
District	Ranga Reddy	Time Period:	Up to November 06
Cluster: Integrating Existing Institutions			Cluster No: 3
Details of Sample: Total 4 Projects, Hariyali (4 Nos)			
Key Questions:			
1. What existing institutions/groups were recognized, during initial phase?			
2. What inputs were provided from the project, to the identified existing groups?			
Good Processes:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o SHGs were the primal existing groups that were recognized (4 Nos/ 4 Villages) along with village organizations (3 Nos/ 4 villages), the Raitu Mitra Group (2 Nos/ 4 Villages) and the VSS and Youth group (1 Nos/ 4 Villages). o Capacity building inputs were provided to SHGs/ VO members (Training) (2 Nos/ 4 Villages). o Project funds (subsidies) and linkages are channeled to these identified institutions (2 No/ 5 Villages) 			
Processes that Need Improvement:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o The VSS and youth groups also got little attention (1 Nos/ 4 Villages). o Existing Institutions got little or no support from the project. (2 Nos/ 4 Villages). o Inputs are limited to only training programs, but not financial inputs (2 Nos/ 4 Villages) 			
Recommendations for Improvement:			
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Explain the provisions made in guidelines of watershed project to women SHGs and Village Organization, by organizing special "drives" to include them in the watershed projects 2. Explore ways and means of integrating other institutions (EG: VSS, Youth Groups) into watershed project. 			



Report of Independent Process Monitoring of APRLP			
District	Ranga Reddy	Time Period:	Up to November 06
Cluster: Identification of Poor Families			Cluster No: 4
Details of Sample: Total 4 Projects - Hariyali (4 Nbs)			
Key Questions:			
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How poor families were recognized? 2. Whether women dependent families/ poor women were recognized? 3. Whether families of wage laborers were recognized? 4. Are there any poor people, who were not members of any group? 			
Good Processes:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Survey conducted by Indira Kranthi Patham (Velugu) (1 Nos/ 4 Villages) o PRA exercises was used to identify poor families in the village (3 Nos/ 4 Villages) 			
Processes that Need Improvement:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Poor families are not formally identified (1 Nos/ 4 Villages) o Women dependent families/ poor women were not formally identified (4 Nos/ 4 Villages). o Families dependent on wages are not identified formally (4 Nos/ 4 Villages) o There are still poor families/ members, who are not part of any group (4 Nos/ 4 Villages) 			
Recommendations for Improvement:			
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Sensitize WDT/MDT/ Grama Panchayati and Village Organization to formally recognize women dependent/ wage dependent/ poor families. 2. Organize special "drive" with appropriate support services (community facilitators/ activists/ book writers and IKP teams) to identify poor, form and strengthen their institutions in a time bound manner. 			



Report of Independent Process Monitoring of APRLP			
District	Ranga Reddy	Time Period:	Up to November 06
Cluster: Formation of New Groups			Cluster No: 5
Details of Sample: Total 4 Projects - Hariyali (4 Nbs)			
Key Questions:			
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What new groups were formed after the watershed development program was initiated in the village? 2. How was the user groups formed? What was the basis for forming/ identification of UG member? 3. Whether UG were formed for private land? 			
Good Processes:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Many villages formed new SHGs (3 Nos/ 4 Villages) o Labour group and user groups (1 Nos/ 4 Villages) o Village organization and RMG (1 Nos/ 4 Villages) o Natural Resources/ assets and block wise placement were considered as basis for forming user groups (1 Nos/ 4 Villages) o User groups are also formed for private land (2 Nos/ 4 Villages) 			
Processes that Need Improvement:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Diversity of institutions is limited. Largely SHG are the new institutions (3 Nos/ 4 Villages). o User groups are not formed (2 Nos/ 4 Villages) o User groups are not formed for private land (2 Nos/ 4 Villages) 			
Recommendations for Improvement:			
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Strengthen institution development process of watershed projects. 2. Build the capacities of WDT to strengthen institution development of the project. 3. Ensure that social mobilizers/ community level facilitators are deployed in adequate numbers. 4. Orient the WDT members on the importance of user groups and formation process of user groups. 5. Ensure proper deployment of WDTs as per the number of watershed projects in each block/ mandal/ district. 			



Report of Independent Process Monitoring of APRLP			
District	Ranga Reddy	Time Period:	Up to November 06
Cluster: Experiential Learning of Communities			Cluster No: 6
Details of Sample: Total 4 Projects - Hariyali (4 Nbs)			
Key Questions:			
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Whether watershed is divided into sub watershed or not? 2. Who participated in the above process? 3. How action plan was prepared for identified sub watersheds? 4. How was the approval for action plan taken? (First Sub Watershed)? 			
Good Processes:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Watershed is divided into sub watersheds (2 Nos/ 4 Villages) o Grama Panchayati and WDT played important role in watershed delineation. (2 Nos/ 4 Villages) o User Groups played a role in watershed delineation (1 Nos/ 4 Villages). o Need based action plans are evolved for sub watersheds (Eg: Ground water augmentation plans (2 Nos/ 4 Villages) o Grama Sabha approved the action plans. (2 Nos/ 4 Villages) 			
Processes that Need Improvement:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Division of watershed area into sub watersheds is not carried out. (2 Nos/ 4 Villages) o Action plans are not prepared for each sub watersheds/ watershed area. There is no approval of any action plan either (2 Nos/ 4 Villages) 			
Recommendations for Improvement:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o This phase is meant for developing the capacities of communities/ Grama Panchayati by experiencing/ executing the watershed development project activities on a small scale. The WDT/PIA members seem to have limited understanding on this provision of guidelines. o Orient the WDT/ MDT on the importance of experiential learning phase of the project and ensure that all activities during this phase are well understood and appreciated by the project facilitators. o Make this phase and related activities as a mandatory process. o Organize technical training programs on the process of watershed delineation and sub watershed/ user group based action planning process. o Ensure that project activities and funding are co-related. 			



Report of Independent Process Monitoring of APRLP			
District	Ranga Reddy	Time Period:	Up to November 06
Cluster: Action Planning			Cluster No: 7
Details of Sample: Total 4 Projects - Hariyali (4 Nbs)			
Key Questions:			
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What kinds of plans were prepared in watershed project? 2. How are the action plans prepared for NRM? 3. Who participated in the preparation of action plans for NRM? What activities are identified? 4. Whether common property resources are identified in the village or not? 5. What are they common property resources are identified in the village? 6. Whether any action plans are prepared for developing common property resources in the watershed area? 7. Whether men and women participated in action planning process of private lands and other CPR? 			
Good Processes:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Plantation plans are prepared (3 Nos/ 4 Villages) o Enteprise Promotion, Land Development and water resources (Irrigation) plans were prepared (2 Nos/ 4 Villages) o Natural Resource Development plans are prepared. (1 Nos/ 4 Villages) o Action Plans for Productivity Enhancement are prepared (1 Nos/ 4 Villages) o Action Plans for Ground Water Development are prepared (1 Nos/ 4 Villages) o Transect walk was the main methodology used for preparing NRM action plan (3 Nos/ 4 Villages) o WDT actively participated in action planning process (3 Nos/ 4 Villages) o Grama Panchayati and Famers actively participated in action planning process (2 Nos/ 4 villages) o People and MPTC actively participated in action planning process (1 Nos/ 4 villages) 			
Processes that Need Improvement:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Action plans for each component are yet to be prepared in a systematic manner (3 Nos/ 5 Villages) o Though WDT has to perform the role of a facilitator, the dependency on WDT seems to be very high. As a result, the WDT seem to have played a dominant rde in action planning process (3 Nos/ 4 Villages) o Common Property Resources/ assets are not identified formally during action planning process (4 Nos/ 4 Villages) 			



WASSAN



- Only men participated in action planning process. Women did not participate. (2 Nos/ 4 Villages)
- Neither Men nor women Participated in the action planning process (2 Nos/ 4 Villages)

Recommendations for Improvement:

- Orient the WDT/ Village Level Facilitators/ Grama Panchayati/VO members on the basic components of watershed action plan.
- Orient the WDTs on importance of CPRs in action plans and the process of preparing comprehensive action plans for CPRs (including usufruct rights).
- Orient the Village Organization to take the responsibilities of action planning for productivity enhancement and enterprise promotion.
- Sensitize men to encourage women's participation in action planning process.
- Sensitize WDT members to play the role of a facilitator, rather than the main active "decision takers" role.



WASSAN



Report of Independent Process Monitoring of APRLP			
District	Ranga Reddy	Time Period:	Up to November 06
Cluster: Action Planning for Productivity Enhancement and Enterprise Promotion			Cluster No: 8
Details of Sample: Total 4 Projects - Hariyali (4 Nbs)			
Key Questions:			
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How was the productivity Action Plans prepared? 2. How are the interventions related to productivity enhancement decided? 3. Who participated in the Productivity enhancement works in action plan? 4. How were the interventions in enterprise promotion action plans decided? 5. How are the interventions related to enterprise promotion are decided? 6. Who participated in E.P. Promotion planning process? 7. Did they follow micro credit plan (MCP)? 			
Good Processes:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Interventions in Enterprise Promotion action plan were decided by the Gram Sabha , WDT and the Gram Panchyath (1 Nos/ 4 Villages) o Contents of action plan for Enterprise Promotion (EP) were decided based on the needs of the SHG members (2 Nos/ 4 Villages) o The WDT and Village organization participated in E.P promotion planning process (1 Nos/ 4 Villages) 			
Processes that Need Improvement:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Action plans for productivity enhancement are not prepared (4 Nos/ 4 Villages). o Interventions related to livelihoods were not identified in action plan (2 Nos / 4 villages) o Interventions related to enterprise promotion were not decided (2 Nos / 4 villages) o Grama Sabha was used as a platform for deciding interventions related to enterprise promotion (1 Nos/ 4 Villages) o Micro credit plan was not followed (4 Nbs/ 4 Villages). 			
Recommendations for Improvement:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Organize orientation programs to WDT to facilitate action planning process on productivity enhancement and enterprise promotion. o Collaborate with resource organizations to support the planning and implementation of productivity enhancement and enterprise promotion related activities. o Develop clear methodological tools for action planning, implementing and monitoring PE and EP 			



WASSAN



related interventions.

- Develop role models in the district as part of LRC strategy to promote
- Develop/ Follow Micro Credit Planning methodology for preparing action plans for Enterprise Promotion



Report of Independent Process Monitoring of APRLP			
District	RR District	Time Period:	Up to November 06
Cluster: Gender Scrutiny of Action Plans			Cluster No: 9
Details of Sample: Total 4 Projects - Hariyali (4 Nbs)			
Key Questions:			
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Who influences the action planning process and its contents? 2. Whether contents of action plans were explained/ shared with Village Organization separately/ Community/ public? 3. What kind of priority was given to women in action plans? 4. Whether issue of contribution was discussed (during approval phase)? 			
Good Processes:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Farmers, SHGs, Village elders and Village Organization influenced the content of action plans (1 Nos/5 villages) o Action Plan was shared to VO (1 Nos/ 4 villages) o Discussion was held about contribution (1 Nos/ 4 villages) 			
Processes that Need Improvement:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Gram Panchayat / WDT influenced the content of action plan (4 Nos/ 5 Villages) o Action Plan was not shared to VO (3 Nos/ 4 villages) o Priority was not given to women (3 Nos/ 4 villages) o No discussion was held about contribution (3 Nos/ 4 villages) 			
Recommendations for Improvement:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Approval of action plans has to be sensitive to the values of APRLP (Equity, Gender, Transparency and Participation). The community based scrutiny of action plans has to verify the adherence of action plans to these values. WDT has to facilitate this process and help the communities to systematically analyze the action plans o Orient the WDT to facilitate the above process at community level. o DWMA also has analyze the contents of action plans and ensure that the action plans provide adequate space, funds and support to resource poor women and men in watershed projects on priority basis. 			



Report of Independent Process Monitoring of APRLP			
District	Ranga Reddy	Time Period:	Up to November 06
Cluster: Approval of Action Plans			Cluster No: 10
Details of Sample: Total 4 Projects - Hariyali (4 Nbs)			
Key Questions:			
1. Who are invdved in approval of action plans at village level?			
2. Whether any conflicts/ problems were faced during this time? What kind of conflicts? Explore further details.			
3. Whether budgets are released without formal approval of action plans?			
Good Processes:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Gram Panchayat / WDT involved in approving of action plan at village level (4 Nos/ 4 vilages) o No conflicts faced during approval of action plans (4 Nos/ 4 vilages) o The budgets were released with the fomal approval of action plan (3 Nos/ 4 vilages) 			
Processes that Need Improvement:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o User Groups, Village organization and Watershed committee involvement in approving of action plan at village level is observed only one watershed. o Budgets were not released according to annual action plans (1 Nos/ 4 vilages) 			
Recommendations for Improvement:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Approval of action plans is not a formal process at the village level. It is important to ensure that previous cluster (Cluster No 9) is followed, before the approval of action plans. o It is important to ensure that funds are released as per action plans/ But budgets/ availability funds should not determine the contents of action plan. DWMA has to ensure that adequate funds are released as per approved action plans 			



Report of Independent Process Monitoring of APRLP			
District	Ranga Reddy	Time Period:	Up to November 06
Cluster: Implementation of Action Plans – Initial Tasks			Cluster No: 11
Details of Sample: Total 4 Projects - Hariyali (4 Nbs)			
Key Questions:			
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Whether budgets are released according to annual action plans? (as per agreed instalments) 2. Who operated the bank account of watershed funds (At Grama Panchayati, VO, User Group, SHG, Labor Group levels)? 3. Who were given high priority? 4. What was the role played by Grama Panchayati during prioritization of watershed activities? 5. What was the role played by Grama Sangham during prioritization of watershed activities? 			
Good Processes:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Budgets were released according to annual action plans (3 Nos/ 4 villages). o Grama Panchayat Sapanch and Secretary operating the bank account (3 Nos/ 4 villages) o Poor were given priority (1 Nos/ 4 villages) o Single women were given priority (1 Nos/ 4 villages) o Gram Panchayat played no or nominal role in prioritizing watershed activities (2 Nos/ 4 villages) 			
Processes that Need Improvement:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Gram Panchayat was given priority (1 Nos/ 4 villages) o VO has no role in prioritizing watershed activities (4 Nos/ 4 villages) 			
Recommendations for Improvement:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o The coordination between Grama Panchayati and Village Organization in prioritization and approval of watershed action plans needs to be carefully facilitated. Since the approval and prioritization process is given low importance, the role of these two institutions is becoming nominal. DWMA has to ensure that such critical community level decision making processes are strictly followed in true spirit. The DWMA teams also have to participate in this process (Cluster 9, 10 and 11). 			



Report of Independent Process Monitoring of APRLP			
District	RR District	Time Period:	Up to November 06
Cluster: Capacity Building Inputs			Cluster No: 12
Details of Sample: Total 4 Projects -Hariyali (4 Nbs)			
Key Questions:			
1. How many training programs are organized on watershed development program? Stage of the project and Training/ CB Inputs 2. Whether women participated in training programs or not? 3. Where are these training programs organized? 4. Whether Exposure Visits were conducted or not? 5. Whether the training inputs are used by the trainees? How?			
Good Processes:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Four training programmes were organized (1 Nos/ 4 villages) o CLRC uses a venue for training programmes (3 Nos/ 4 villages) o Trainees used training inputs in growing horticulture plantations (1 Nos/ 4 villages) 			
Processes that Need Improvement:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Only one or two training programmes were organized (3 Nos/ 4 villages) o Women were not participated in training programme (3 Nos/ 4 vilalges) o No exposure visits were organized (4 Nos/ 4 villages) o Trainees were not used the training inputs 			
Recommendations for Improvement:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o More number of institution based training programs (D CLRC centric) need to be organized. Avoid training programs at DWMA/ MDT/PIA office. o Encourage women participation in all training programs. Make adequate efforts and establish adequate support to women participants. o Action linked training programs need to be organized to make effective use of training inputs. (Project Management Cycle Linked CB Inputs) 			

Report of Independent Process Monitoring of APRLP			
District	RR District	Time Period:	Up to November 06
Cluster: Role of User Groups in Execution of Works			Cluster No: 13
Details of Sample: Total 4 Projects - Hariyali (4 Nbs)			
Key Questions:			
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Application form from users 2. Who Contributes? 3. Who decided the interventions? 4. Who estimates? 5. Who approved estimates? 6. Who executed the works? 7. Who supervised the works? 8. Who maintains the quality of works? 9. Who authenticates the quality of works? 10. Who measures the works? 11. How is the payments made? 12. Is the group functional after the completion of activity? 13. What is the role of Grama Panchayati in the above process? 			
Good Processes:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Users applied for works (1 Nos/ 4 villages) o Farmers and User Groups participated in deciding works (1 Nos/ 4 villages) o Gram Panchayat approved the estimates (4 Nos/ 4 vilages) o Farmers involved in executing works (1 Nos/ 4 villages) o Gram Panchayat monitor the works (2 Nos/ 4 villages) o Payments are made in the form of cheque (4 Nbs/ 4 villages) o Gram Panchayat played key role (2 Nos/ 4 villages) 			
Processes that Need Improvement:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o No application from users for works (3 Nos/ 4 villages) o No contribution collected (1 Nos/ 4 villages) o Contribution was mobilized by deducting from wages of the labour groups (2 Nos/ 4 villages) o WDT is totally responsible for preparing estimates (4 Nos/ 4 vilages). o Gram Panchayat / WDT decided works (4 Nos/ 4 villages) 			



WASSAN



- Gram Panchayat executed works (2 Nos / 4 villages)
- Contractors executed works (2 Nos / 4 villages)
- No community role in monitoring (4 Nos/ 4 villages)
- Gram Panchayat ensures the quality of work (2 Nos/ 4 villages)
- WDT role seem to be vital in ensuring the quality of work (4 Nos/ 4 villages)
- MDT/WDT authenticates the quality of work (4 Nos/ 4 villages)
- WDT only involved in measuring the work (4 Nos/ 4 villages)
- Group is not functional after the completion of activities (2 Nos/ 4 villages)

Recommendations for Improvement:

--



Report of Independent Process Monitoring of APRLP			
District	RR District	Time Period:	Up to November 06
Cluster: Completion of Works and Records			Cluster No: 14
Details of Sample: Total 4 Projects - Hariyali (4 Nbs)			
Key Questions:			
1. Who maintaining the records related to works?			
2. Where was the cheque book?			
Good Processes:			
o Panchayat secretary was maintained the records (1 Nos / 4 villages)			
o Cheque book was in Gram Panchayat			
Processes that Need Improvement:			
o WDT maintaining records (4 Nos/ 4 villages)			
o Cheque book was with WDT (3 Nos/ 4 villages)			
Recommendations for Improvement:			



Report of Independent Process Monitoring of APRLP			
District	RR District	Time Period:	Up to November 06
Cluster: Implementation of Productivity Enhancement and Enterprise Promotion Plans			Cluster No: 15
Details of Sample: Total 4 Projects - Hariyali (2 Nos)			
Key Questions:			
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What is the process of executing the plans of productivity enhancement plans? 2. What is the role of SHGs? 3. What is the role of individual persons/ members? 4. What is the role of village organization? 5. How are funds released (From where to where?) 6. What kind of capacity building support is provided to the individuals/ groups/ VO for implementing the productivity enhancement plan/ enterprise plans? 7. Who monitors the execution of action plans? 			
Good Processes:			
○			
Processes that Need Improvement:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ No PE plans prepared so far (3 Nos/ 4 villages) ○ Capacity building support is not provided for implementing the productivity enhancement plan/ enterprise plans (2 Nos/ 4 villages) ○ Nobody is monitoring the execution of works (1 Nos/ 4 villages) 			
Recommendations for Improvement:			



Report of Independent Process Monitoring of APRLP			
District	RR District	Time Period:	Up to November 06
Cluster: Sustainability and Growth			Cluster No: 16
Details of Sample: Total 4 Projects -Hariyali (4 Nbs)			
Key Questions:			
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What are the systems for asset maintenance/ repairs? 2. Whether damages occurred? Who repaired? 3. Whether Communities know about the amount of WDF? 4. Whether Usufruct rights are allocated to anyone, on the works completed on CPRs? 5. Whether UGs have any regulations/ rules for the use of assets/ benefit sharing from CPRs? 6. Which institution is functional at the end of project period? 7. How many new institutions are formed at the time of exit stage? 8. What systems are employed to sustain the user groups? 9. Who takes care of the assets? How long can they take up this responsibility? 10. Is the fund for productivity enhancement and enterprise development circulated? 11. Does the PE and EP plan include new members? 			
Good Processes:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Damages are not occurred (3 Nos/ 4 villages) 			
Processes that Need Improvement:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Damages occurred and nobody repaired (1 Nos/ 4 villages) o Community don't know about WDF (2 Nos/ 4 villages) o Usufruct rights are not allocated to anyone, on the works completed on CPRs (4 Nos/ 4 villages) o No system is employed to sustain the user groups (4 Nos/ 4 Villages) o No body has taken the responsibility to take care of assets (4 Nos/ 4 villages) o Fund for productivity enhancement and enterprise development is not circulated (1 Nos/ 4 villages) 			
Recommendations for Improvement:			